

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/587,500	NODA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
KELLY STOUFFER	1792	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) KELLY STOUFFER. (3) _____.

(2) Samuel Dangremond. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 April 2009

Time: n/a

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 17 was drawn to a nonelected invention with traverse. The examiner was given authorization to cancel this claim in an examiner's amendment

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Frederick J. Parker/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)